Pathogens!

No place to hide



ELECTROSTATIC DISINFECTION MACHINE



Designed and Developed

by

CSIR-CSIO, Chandigarh

CONVENTIONAL Vs ELECTROSTATIC DISINFECTION



- 180° Area coverage
- Bigger droplet size
- Not target oriented
- Off-target losses
- Non-uniformity
- No back deposition
- Applicable for low viscous fluids
- Less efficient
- Low accuracy



- 360° Area coverage
- Smaller droplet size
- Target specific
- Minimum losses
- Uniform coverage
- Front and back deposition
- Applicable for all fluid types
- 7-8 times more efficient
- High accuracy

FEATURES

- Globally competitive, at par with international standards
- Charged sprays are more effective and efficient to kill the virus and microorganisms.
- No. of nozzles
- Efficiency¹
- Tank capacity
- Materials usage²
- External air supply
- Uniformity coefficient
- Battery usage hours³

- = Single headed
- = 70-75 %
- = 10/15 litres
- = Reduced by 50-60%
- = Required
- = 1.71
- = 10-12 hours

¹Compared to traditional application methods including wiping. ²Compared to misting, sprayers and floggers. ³Once fully charged battery.

Power source for charging of liquid and air compressor is 9.0 Volts DC battery and 220-240 Volts AC (mains operated) respectively.



All rights reserved at CSIR[®], US10661288B2, 3045DEL2014, PCT/IN2015/050146, WO2016067310A1, WO2016067310A4, CA2966129, IN201711007875



Director, CSIR—Central Scientific Instruments Organisation, Sector 30 C, Chandigarh—160 030 Email: director@csio.res.in, Phone: 0172-2657190 (Ext. 200/400), Pax: 0172-2657267 Website: http://www.csio.res.in